

In the Court of Appeals of the State of Alaska

Cain Bradley,

Appellant,

v.

Municipality of Anchorage,

Appellee.

Court of Appeals No. **A-13156**

Order Rejecting Appellee's Brief

Date of Order: **10/17/2019**

Trial Court Case No. **3AN-18-00379CR, 3AN-15-07633CR, 3AN-16-06703CR,
3AN-15-06874CR**

Appellee's sentence memorandum, filed on 10/11/2019, is rejected for the following reasons specified by the law clerk who reviewed the brief and excerpt for technical compliance with Appellate Rules 210 and 212.

Stylistically, the page numbers begin at the Table of Contents.

The Table of Authorities do not list cases first. The issue presented under the "statement of issues for review" is not even a real sentence.

Substantively, the fact and the argument sections are lacking.

The citations in the statement of the case section only refer to the log notes in the record.

In the argument section, there was only one case cited, though it appears that the attorney actually refers to Chaney (cited in the standard of review) through a random use of "id."

The argument section also requires factual support and references to the transcript, but none are provided.

The original and one copy of the corrected brief, with proof of service, are due on or before **11/4/2019**.

Entered under Appellate Rule 102(f).

Cain Bradley v Municipality of Anchorage - p. 2
File No. A-13156
October 17, 2019

Clerk of the Appellate Courts

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "K. Roberts", written over a horizontal line.

Kyle Roberts, Deputy Clerk

Distribution:

Mail:

Rowe, Megan M., Public Defender
Stanley, Sarah E.